



EDITH WESTON PARISH COUNCIL

4 Normanton Cottages, Empingham Road,
Normanton, Rutland, LE15 8RW

1 April 2021

The next meeting of **Edith Weston Parish Council Neighbourhood Plan Committee (NPC)** is to be held on **Monday 12 April 2021 at 7pm.**

We would like to offer members of the public the opportunity to submit questions in writing if they are unable to participate online or via phone link. Any questions should be submitted in writing to me at the above address.

To attend the virtual meeting online please follow these instructions:-

Topic: **Edith Weston Neighbourhood Plan Committee meeting**

Time: **Apr 12, 2021 07:30 PM** London

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/87009311106?pwd=YStlcG52c1U3RFNxFzZzK2VLYUZxdz09>

Meeting ID: 870 0931 1106

Passcode: 655026

Kind regards,

Cathie Gwilliam

Edith Weston Parish Council Clerk

[01780 460128](tel:01780460128)

ewpcclerk@gmail.com

www.edithweston.com



AGENDA

NPC 19/21.	Apologies	PB
NPC 20/21.	Declarations of interest in items on the agenda.	PB
NPC 21/21.	Public Open Forum	PB
NPC 22/21.	Minutes of the last meeting held on 9 March 2021. Please	

	see attached Appendix A	
NPC 23/21.	Matters arising from the minutes not on the agenda	PB
NPC 24/21.	Actions from Parish council meeting 30 March 2021	PB
NPC 25/21.	Grant process Update:	CG
NPC 26/21.	Planning Consultant Update:	JG
NPC 27/21.	Technical Consultant Update:	AR
NPC 28/21	Process. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What's next • Allocation of Roles 	PB
NPC 29/21	Locality guidance notes, information and useful reading: https://locality.org.uk/services-tools/neighbourhood-planning/ https://neighbourhoodplanning.org/ https://neighbourhoodplanning.org/toolkits-and-guidance/neighbourhood-planning-grant-technical-support-guidance-notes/	PB
NPC 30/21	Place Check Results Please see attached Appendix B	CG
NPC 31/21	Post card competition.	CG
NPC 32/21	To confirm the date of the next NPC Committee. Monday 17th May 2021. 7pm via Zoom	PB
	<u>Please note that all parish council meetings are recorded for minuting preposes only.</u> <u>If you are unable to attend this meeting, please let the Parish Council Clerk know as soon as possible.</u>	

Appendix A

EDITH WESTON PARISH COUNCIL NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN COMMITTEE

Minutes of the meeting of the Edith Weston Parish Council (EWPC) Neighborhood Plan (NP) Committee (NPC)

held on Tuesday 9 March 2021

Attendance: Paul Boggust (PB) - Chair, Juliette Stuttard (JS), Cathie Gwilliam (CG) - Clerk, Peter Coe (PC), Julie Gray (JG), Andrew Lunn (AL), Les Allen (LA)

Visitors: None

NPC 10/21.	Apologies	PB
	None	
NPC 1/21.	Declarations of interest in items on the agenda.	PB
	None	
NPC 12/21.	Public Open Forum	PB
	None	
NPC 13/21.	Minutes of the last meeting held on 9 February 2021.	PB
	Resolved. To accept and sign as a true record.	
NPC 14/21.	Matters arising from the minutes not on the agenda	PB
	None	
NPC 15/21.	Actions from Parish council meeting 22 February 2021	PB
	Action. Suggested amendments to the minutes of NPC 9 February have been made.	
	Resolved. Amended minutes agreed as above item 13/21	
NPC 16/21.	Review process Update	PB
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• <u>Inclusion of Normanton Parish Meeting into the designated area</u>	
	The proposal to include Normanton Parish Meeting in a combined neighbourhood plan area was extensively debated. It was agreed that there may be conflicting interest and Edith Weston would not include Normanton Parish.	
	Proposed: JG	
	Seconded: LA	

Vote was unanimous

Resolution. To submit paperwork listing Edith Weston Parish only as our Neighbourhood Plan with the caveat that we continue to offer sincere and active support to Normanton Parish Meeting and continue working closely with them on an informal basis.

- Review of policies

Action points. Look at NL policies and how they can be used to formulate our own.

- Consultant input

Consultant has suggested two approaches.

- Competition

Children design a postcard competition. 3 ages groups all with 3 prizes, 1st = £15, 2nd = £10, 3rd £5. Book tokens or art supplies were among the suggested prizes.

- Place Checking survey

Basic 3 question survey to all residents asking what is about our parish, what is bad our parish and what would you like to change? This will set the basis for a more detailed public survey and consultation.

Action points.

- Questions for village survey to be formulated
- Competition brief drafted
- Newsletter communicating this to the community to be produced.

- Grant process update

Action points.

- Grant to be applied for (formal expression of interest has been submitted and a meeting with consultant is set for 16th March to complete application for

technical support)

Other action points.

- Area to be defined
- Letter to RCC as drafted
- **JG** to send locality documentation to everyone.

NPC 17/21. Rutland's Design Guide consultation PB

Approval of the responses written by PC

Proposed: PC

Seconded: JG

Vote was unanimous

NPC 18 /21. To confirm the date of the next NPC Committee. PB

Monday 12th April 2021. 7pm via Zoom

Appendix B

Neighbourhood Plan PLACE CHECK responses		
1. What is GOOD	2. What is BAD	3. What would you CHANGE
Good - in spatial terms and as largely recognised by the conservation area designation, the historic core of Well Cross, the Manor House ancillary buildings on Weston Road, the Water, the Wheatsheaf, the church and village shop. Much of what was subsequently build is often disappointing but the village still retains its coherent and historic layout. Recently permitted development generally reflects the vernacular architecture which is welcomed. The peripheral MoD estates represent terrible and ill thought planning but the additional population supports the school and shop. Those, in turn, support a great 'community spirit' typical of	Bad - of course this is now under substantial threat by the proposal to completely transform the village through a population increase of 1,400 to one of 3,500 in a, comparatively, very short period of time and contrary to the adopted Local Plan and Neighbourhood Plan (NP).	Change - there is a shortage of affordable housing and the NP should endeavour to identify more infill development with a 40% affordable ratio consistent with a sensible village boundary. Otherwise development should be small scale and limited to a level consistent with a 'Local Service Centre'. Note that the current site allocation (ED 1/02) remains sensible and adequate (2017 revised LP consultative draft).

<p>such small rural communities in Rutland.</p>		
<p>When I think about our Parish and what that means to us as a family the good or positives that come to mind are: A lovely local school, that I myself and my siblings attended and now my own three children attend. Perfect location A local shop and pub A clean and well looked after village</p>	<p>My instant thought and only negative for the Parish is the divided that still stands and is openly spoken about between the two parts of the village! The Bronx and the village!!! Even with most of the lower estate now being privately owned that divide is still evident and the people in it are thought of as SEPERATE to the village and yet a large amount of them are our next generation in EWA and the other local Primary schools. Unfortunately this stigma is rooted quite deeply from when the forces arrived many years ago. I am Rutland born and bred with a long standing family connection with Rutland and its villages.</p>	<p>I would love to see more engagement with our younger generation. More help and support from the village with our local playgrounds. A book swap area/food swap area</p>
<p>Proximity to Rutland Water and Stamford. Access to wonderful scenic walks with protected wildlife habitat. Good community spirit which makes the best of the resources available (could be enhanced, see below). Properties that fit in with the rural charm of the county. An excellent local shop/PO, pub and primary school (no need to replace it?). A parish where residents look out and care for one another.</p>	<p>The stress and pressure of MOD's plans for SGB, the Officers' Mess and the School site have caused immense worry amongst residents. This has caused some residents to move away. If a new town is developed at SGB (of a size that has been suggested) coupled with the new quarry then all we have built up in the Parish will be destroyed and the school, pub and shop will disappear. The community will become an urbanised sprawl of commuting residents with no identity and incredible traffic noise and congestion/pollution.</p>	<p>Stop the SGB development. If SGB does need re-development then convert to a purpose that has empathy with Rutland Water environmental projects and the rural culture of Rutland. Public access to the school's swimming pool, gynasium, evening teaching lessons and sports hall. Better road cleaning and snow clearing services. More green space within the village and surrounding it.</p>